UNITED	STATES D	STRICT C	OURT	ERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
	for the			11011	
	Central District of	California		NOV - 7 2018	
United States of America)		SUNT	RAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORN	1/
v.)	_	1		-
Gala Pian Para II G)	Case No. 8	18-MJ-01	G 5 G	
Carlos Elias Bermudez Cruz Defendant)				
Dejenaan	,		•		
ORDER O	F DETENTION	PENDING 7	ΓRIAL		
I	Part I - Eligibility fo	or Detention			
Upon the					
☐ Motion of the Government at	torney nursuant to 1	8 II S C 8 3 1 4 2 6	(f)(1) or		
✓ Motion of the Government or	* *	•)(2).	
_		F F		/(- <i>)</i> ,	
the Court held a detention hearing and found and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S					t
Part II - Findings of		-	_	_	
· 					
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises U presumption that no condition or combi					
and the community because the following			assure the salet	y of any other person	
(1) the defendant is charged with	•		ed in 18 U.S.C.	§ 3142(f)(1):	
(a) a crime of violence, a v	iolation of 18 U.S.C	c. § 1591, or an o	offense listed in	18 U.S.C.	
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which		•	-	•	
\square (b) an offense for which th		•			
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or	(21 U.S.C. §§ 801-9	904), the Control	led Substances	Import and Export Act	
(d) any felony if such person	on has been convicte	ed of two or more	e offenses descr	ibed in subparagraphs	
(a) through (c) of this parag described in subparagraphs jurisdiction had existed, or	(a) through (c) of the	nis paragraph if a			
\square (e) any felony that is not of					
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the parties (iii) any other dangerous w					,
\square (2) the defendant has previously					
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or locato Federal jurisdiction had existent		d have been such	an offense if a	circumstance giving rise	3
(3) the offense described in para					
committed while the defendant v	-	•		·	
(4) a period of not more than fiv					
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.					

☐ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure (the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
☐ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
Lack of financially responsible sureties
Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Page 2 of

Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: Nov. 7, 2018

United States Magistrate Judge